Motivations

Flexibility C

Consumers' model

Chance constrained

Results

Conclusions

Consumers' Flexibility Estimation at the TSO Level for Balancing Services

G. De Zotti* A. Pourmousavi** J.M. Morales *** H. Madsen* N.K. Poulsen*

* Technical University of Denmark
 ** The University of Queensland
 *** University of Malaga





UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA





Outline

- Motivations
- Engaging the flexible resources
- Modelling the electricity consumers' behaviour
- Chance constrained programming
- Results
- Conclusions

Engaging the energy flexibility Consumers' behaviour

Consumers' model

In order to guarantee the **electricity reserve**, the system operator must **quantify the aggregated flexibility** that can be achieved from the electricity consumers.

Chance constrained

00000

Two-way communication

Flexibility

Motivations

()()



Transactive energy exploits a feedback to know the reaction of the consumers to prices. It requires **significant infrastructure** and might perform **slowly**.

One-way communication

Results

()()

Conclusions



A one-way communication fastens the process, however it is fundamental to **understand** the **consumers' behaviour** and their **price response**.

Estimating the available flexibility Assumptions

How can we estimate the consumers' behaviour at the TSO level?

Consumers' model

We assume:

Motivations

()()

- Time- varying electricity prices are submitted to the consumers.
- Consumers are equipped with energy management systems.

Flexibility

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$



Chance constrained

Results

Conclusions

The consumers' response is statistically modelled, knowing:

- The composition of the aggregated pool of consumers.
- The aggregated measurements for each load category.

Flexibility

00

Motivations

OO

Consumers' model

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$

We approach a **cost minimisation** that considers the perspective of the consumers:

Chance constrained

00000

Results

00

Conclusions

 \bigcirc

$$\min_{L_{t,j}^{\alpha}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\text{base}} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t}^{u} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t}^{d} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left(\boldsymbol{L}_{t,j}^{\text{base}} + L_{t,j}^{d} - L_{t,j}^{u} \right)$$
(5a)

Consumers' model

We approach a **cost minimisation** that considers the perspective of the consumers:

Chance constrained

00000

Results

OO

Conclusions

$$\min_{\substack{L_{t,j}^{\alpha} \\ t,j}} \sum_{t=1}^{\tau} \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\text{base}} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t}^{u} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t}^{d} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left(\boldsymbol{L}_{t,j}^{\text{base}} + L_{t,j}^{d} - L_{t,j}^{u} \right)$$
(5a)

where the constraints include:

Flexibility

OO

Motivations

OO

The magnitude of the flexibility provision

s.t. $-\mathbf{r}_{j}^{\alpha} \leq L_{t,j+1}^{\alpha} - L_{t,j}^{\alpha} \leq \mathbf{r}_{j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t, j \qquad (5b)$ $0 \leq L_{t,j}^{d} \leq u_{t,j}^{d} \left(\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} \right) \mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{d} \quad \forall t, j \qquad (5c)$ $0 \leq L_{t,j}^{u} \leq u_{t,j}^{u} \left(\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\min} \right) \mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{u} \quad \forall t, j \qquad (5d)$

Consumers' model

We approach a **cost minimisation** that considers the perspective of the consumers:

Chance constrained

00000

$$\min_{L_{t,j}^{\alpha}} \sum_{t=1}^{\tau} \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\text{base}} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t}^{u} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t}^{d} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left(\boldsymbol{L}_{t,j}^{\text{base}} + L_{t,j}^{d} - L_{t,j}^{u} \right)$$
(5a)

where the constraints include:

Flexibility

 $\cap \cap$

Motivations

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$

The magnitude of the flexibility provision

s.t.
$$-\mathbf{r}_{j}^{\alpha} \leq L_{t,j+1}^{\alpha} - L_{t,j}^{\alpha} \leq \mathbf{r}_{j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t, j \qquad (5b)$$
$$0 \leq L_{t,j}^{d} \leq u_{t,j}^{d} \left(\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max}\right) \mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{d} \quad \forall t, j \qquad (5c)$$
$$0 \leq L_{t,j}^{u} \leq u_{t,j}^{u} \left(\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\min}\right) \mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{u} \quad \forall t, j \qquad (5d)$$

The duration of the flexibility provision

$$\sum_{\substack{t=t'\\t'+\overline{\mathbf{d}}_{j}^{\alpha}}}^{t'+\underline{\mathbf{d}}_{j}^{\alpha}} u_{t',j}^{\alpha} \ge \underline{\mathbf{d}}_{j}^{\alpha} y_{t',j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t' \in \Psi, j$$
(5j)

Results

OO

$$\sum_{t=t'}^{+\mathbf{u}_j} z_{t,j'}^{\alpha} \ge y_{t',j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t' \in \Psi, j$$
(5k)

$$t' \in \Psi, t' : \left[\left(t + \overline{\mathbf{d}}_j^d < \tau \right) \cap \left(t + \overline{\mathbf{d}}_j^u < \tau \right) \right]$$
(51)

Consumers' model

We approach a **cost minimisation** that considers the perspective of the consumers:

Chance constrained

00000

$$\min_{L_{t,j}^{\alpha}} \sum_{t=1}^{\tau} \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\text{base}} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t}^{u} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t}^{d} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left(\boldsymbol{L}_{t,j}^{\text{base}} + L_{t,j}^{d} - L_{t,j}^{u} \right)$$
(5a)

where the constraints include:

Flexibility

 $\cap \cap$

Motivations

OO

The magnitude of the flexibility provision

s.t.
$$-\mathbf{r}_{j}^{\alpha} \leq L_{t,j+1}^{\alpha} - L_{t,j}^{\alpha} \leq \mathbf{r}_{j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t, j \qquad (5b)$$
$$0 \leq L_{t,j}^{d} \leq u_{t,j}^{d} (\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max}) \mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{d} \quad \forall t, j \qquad (5c)$$
$$0 \leq L_{t,j}^{u} \leq u_{t,j}^{u} (\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\min}) \mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{u} \quad \forall t, j \qquad (5d)$$

The **rebound** effect

$$\sum_{1}^{\tau} \left(L_{t,j}^d - L_{t,j}^u \right) = 0 \qquad \forall j \qquad (5e)$$

The **duration** of the flexibility provision

$$\sum_{\substack{t=t'\\t'+\overline{\mathbf{d}}_{i}^{\alpha}}}^{t'+\underline{\mathbf{d}}_{j}^{\alpha}} u_{t',j}^{\alpha} \ge \underline{\mathbf{d}}_{j}^{\alpha} y_{t',j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t' \in \Psi, j$$
(5j)

Results

 $\cap \cap$

$$\sum_{t=t'}^{+\mathbf{u}_j} z_{t,j'}^{\alpha} \ge y_{t',j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t' \in \Psi, j$$
(5k)

$$t' \in \Psi, t' : \left[\left(t + \overline{\mathbf{d}}_j^d < \tau \right) \cap \left(t + \overline{\mathbf{d}}_j^u < \tau \right) \right]$$
(51)

Consumers' model

We approach a **cost minimisation** that considers the perspective of the consumers:

Chance constrained

00000

$$\min_{L_{t,j}^{\alpha}} \sum_{t=1}^{\tau} \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\text{base}} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t}^{u} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t}^{d} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left(\boldsymbol{L}_{t,j}^{\text{base}} + L_{t,j}^{d} - L_{t,j}^{u} \right)$$
(5a)

where the constraints include:

Flexibility

 $\cap \cap$

Motivations

 $\bigcirc\bigcirc$

The magnitude of the flexibility provision

s.t.
$$-\mathbf{r}_{j}^{\alpha} \leq L_{t,j+1}^{\alpha} - L_{t,j}^{\alpha} \leq \mathbf{r}_{j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t, j \qquad (5b)$$
$$0 \leq L_{t,j}^{d} \leq u_{t,j}^{d} (\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max}) \mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{d} \quad \forall t, j \qquad (5c)$$
$$0 \leq L_{t,j}^{u} \leq u_{t,j}^{u} (\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\min}) \mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{u} \quad \forall t, j \qquad (5d)$$

The **rebound** effect

$$\sum_{1}^{j} \left(L_{t,j}^{d} - L_{t,j}^{u} \right) = 0 \qquad \forall j \qquad (5e)$$

The activation times

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\tau} y_{t,j}^{\alpha} \le \mathbf{n}_{j}^{\alpha} \qquad \qquad \forall j \qquad (5i)$$

The duration of the flexibility provision

$$\sum_{\substack{t=t'\\t'+\overline{\mathbf{d}}_{j}^{\alpha}}}^{t'+\underline{\mathbf{d}}_{j}^{\alpha}} u_{t',j}^{\alpha} \ge \underline{\mathbf{d}}_{j}^{\alpha} y_{t',j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t' \in \Psi, j$$
(5j)

Results

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$

$$\sum_{t=t'}^{+\mathbf{a}_j} z_{t,j'}^{\alpha} \ge y_{t',j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t' \in \Psi, j \tag{5k}$$

$$t' \in \Psi, t' : \left[\left(t + \overline{\mathbf{d}}_j^d < \tau \right) \cap \left(t + \overline{\mathbf{d}}_j^u < \tau \right) \right]$$
(51)

Consumers' model

We approach a **cost minimisation** that considers the perspective of the consumers:

Chance constrained

00000

$$\min_{L_{t,j}^{\alpha}} \sum_{t=1}^{\tau} \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\text{base}} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t}^{u} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t}^{d} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left(\boldsymbol{L}_{t,j}^{\text{base}} + L_{t,j}^{d} - L_{t,j}^{u} \right)$$
(5a)

where the constraints include:

Flexibility

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$

Motivations

 $\bigcirc\bigcirc$

The magnitude of the flexibility provision

s.t.
$$-\mathbf{r}_{j}^{\alpha} \leq L_{t,j+1}^{\alpha} - L_{t,j}^{\alpha} \leq \mathbf{r}_{j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t, j \qquad (5b)$$
$$0 \leq L_{t,j}^{d} \leq u_{t,j}^{d} (\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max}) \mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{d} \quad \forall t, j \qquad (5c)$$
$$0 \leq L_{t,j}^{u} \leq u_{t,j}^{u} (\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\min}) \mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{u} \quad \forall t, j \qquad (5d)$$

The **rebound** effect

$$\sum_{1}^{r} \left(L_{t,j}^{d} - L_{t,j}^{u} \right) = 0 \qquad \forall j \qquad (5e)$$

The **activation** times

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\tau} y_{t,j}^{\alpha} \le \mathbf{n}_{j}^{\alpha} \qquad \qquad \forall j \qquad (5i)$$

The duration of the flexibility provision

$$\sum_{t=t'}^{t'+\underline{\mathbf{d}}_{j}^{\alpha}} u_{t',j}^{\alpha} \ge \underline{\mathbf{d}}_{j}^{\alpha} y_{t',j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t' \in \Psi, j$$
(5j)

Results

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$

Conclusions

$$\sum_{t=t'}^{t'+\overline{\mathbf{d}}_{j}^{\alpha}} z_{t,j'}^{\alpha} \ge y_{t',j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t' \in \Psi, j$$
 (5k)

$$t' \in \Psi, t' : \left[\left(t + \overline{\mathbf{d}}_j^d < \tau \right) \cap \left(t + \overline{\mathbf{d}}_j^u < \tau \right) \right]$$
(51)

The **binary** condition

$$u_{t,j}^d + u_{t,j}^u \le 1 \qquad \qquad \forall t,j \qquad (5f)$$

$$y_{t,j}^{\alpha} - z_{t,j}^{\alpha} = u_{t,j}^{\alpha} - u_{t,j-1}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t,j \qquad (5g)$$

$$y_{t,j}^{\alpha} + z_{t,j}^{\alpha} \le 1 \qquad \qquad \forall t,j \qquad (5h)$$

July 2018

Consumers' model

We approach a **cost minimisation** that considers the perspective of the consumers:

Chance constrained

00000

$$\min_{L_{t,j}^{\alpha}} \sum_{t=1}^{\tau} \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\text{base}} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t}^{u} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t}^{d} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left(\boldsymbol{L}_{t,j}^{\text{base}} + L_{t,j}^{d} - L_{t,j}^{u} \right)$$
(5a)

where the constraints include:

Flexibility

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$

Motivations

 $\bigcirc\bigcirc$

The magnitude of the flexibility provision

s.t.
$$-\mathbf{r}_{j}^{\alpha} \leq L_{t,j+1}^{\alpha} - L_{t,j}^{\alpha} \leq \mathbf{r}_{j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t, j \qquad (5b)$$
$$0 \leq L_{t,j}^{d} \leq u_{t,j}^{d} \left(\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} \right) \mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{d} \quad \forall t, j \qquad (5c)$$
$$0 \leq L_{t,j}^{u} \leq u_{t,j}^{u} \left(\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\min} \right) \mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{u} \quad \forall t, j \qquad (5d)$$

The rebound effect

$$\sum_{1}^{T} \left(L_{t,j}^{d} - L_{t,j}^{u} \right) = 0 \qquad \forall j \qquad (5e)$$

The **activation** times

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\tau} y_{t,j}^{\alpha} \le \mathbf{n}_{j}^{\alpha} \qquad \qquad \forall j \qquad (5i)$$

The duration of the flexibility provision

$$\sum_{t=t'}^{t'+\underline{\mathbf{d}}_{j}^{\alpha}} u_{t',j}^{\alpha} \ge \underline{\mathbf{d}}_{j}^{\alpha} y_{t',j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t' \in \Psi, j$$
(5j)

Results

()

Conclusions

$$\sum_{t=t'}^{t'+\overline{\mathbf{d}}_{j}^{\alpha}} z_{t,j'}^{\alpha} \ge y_{t',j}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t' \in \Psi, j$$
 (5k)

$$t' \in \Psi, t' : \left[\left(t + \overline{\mathbf{d}}_j^d < \tau \right) \cap \left(t + \overline{\mathbf{d}}_j^u < \tau \right) \right]$$
(51)

The **binary** condition

$$u_{t,j}^d + u_{t,j}^u \le 1 \qquad \qquad \forall t,j \qquad (5f)$$

$$y_{t,j}^{\alpha} - z_{t,j}^{\alpha} = u_{t,j}^{\alpha} - u_{t,j-1}^{\alpha} \qquad \forall t,j \qquad (5g)$$

$$y_{t,j}^{\alpha} + z_{t,j}^{\alpha} \le 1 \qquad \qquad \forall t,j \qquad (5h)$$

ISMP 2018

July 2018

Dealing with the uncertainty Consumers' willingness

Flexibility

 $\cap \cap$

Motivations

OO

Consumers' model

 $\cap \cap$

The consumers' **willingness** to provide flexibility is modelled as an **exponential** function:

Chance constrained

Results

OO

Conclusions



where the **parameters** to formulate $a_{t,j}^{\alpha}$ depend on the different end-users' **categories**.

Dealing with the uncertainty Consumers' willingness

Flexibility

 $\cap \cap$

Motivations

OO

Consumers' model

 $\cap \cap$

To account for the **stochasticity** and **diversity** of the consumes, these parameters are treated as **normally** distributed:

Chance constrained

00000

Results

OO

Conclusions



Such a choice is justified as several phenomena related to the **human behaviour** follow normal distribution.

Dealing with the uncertainty Normality condition

Flexibility

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$

Motivations

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$

Consumers' model

 $\cap \cap$

The selection of γ defines the different **willingness** of consumers to respond to different **price magnitudes**.

Chance constrained

00000

Results

00

Conclusions



In this study, different values of γ are considered and the **normality** of the consumers' willingness parameter is tested for each value.

It emerges graphically that the normality condition is not significantly affected by the choice of γ and we consider a value of 1.5.

ISMP 2018	July 2018	11

Dealing with the uncertainty Chance constrained programming

Flexibility

 $\cap \cap$

Motivations

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$

Chance constrained programming is applied to the constraints:

Consumers' model

 $\cap \cap$

$$L_{t,j}^{d} \leq u_{t,j}^{d} \left(\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} \right) \mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{d} \quad \forall t, j$$

$$L_{t,j}^{u} \leq u_{t,j}^{u} \left(\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\min} \right) \mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{u} \quad \forall t, j$$
(6)

Chance constrained

00000

Results

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$

Conclusions

where $\mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{u}$ $\mathbf{a}_{t,j}^{d}$ are treated as random variables with **normal** distribution, $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{t,j}^{u}$ $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{t,j}^{d}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{t,j}^{d} &\leq u_{t,j}^{d} \left(\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{t,j}^{d} \quad \forall t, j \\
L_{t,j}^{u} &\leq u_{t,j}^{u} \left(\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\min} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{t,j}^{u} \quad \forall t, j
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The formulation can be written in a compact way:

$$\mathcal{A}_{t,j}^{d} \equiv u_{t,j}^{d} (\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\text{base}}) \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{t,j}^{d}$$
(8a)

$$\mathcal{A}_{t,j}^{u} \equiv u_{t,j}^{u} (\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\text{base}} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\min}) \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{t,j}^{u}$$
(8b)

$$L_{t,j}^{\alpha} \le \mathcal{A}_{t,j}^{\alpha} \quad \forall t,j \tag{8c}$$

Dealing with the uncertainty Chance constrained programming

Consumers' model

 $\cap \cap$

The chance constrained condition imposes that:

$$Pr\left(L_{t,j}^{\alpha} \le \mathcal{A}_{t,j}^{\alpha}\right) \ge \boldsymbol{\beta}$$
(9)

Chance constrained

0000

Results

OO

Conclusions

 \bigcirc

We define the **standard score** z_{α} :

Flexibility

OO

Motivations

OO

$$Pr\left(\frac{L_{t,j}^{\alpha} - \mu_{\mathcal{A}_{t,j}^{\alpha}}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_{t,j}^{\alpha}}} \le z_{\alpha}\right) \ge \boldsymbol{\beta}$$
(10a)

$$1 - Pr\left(\frac{L_{t,j}^{\alpha} - \mu_{\mathcal{A}_{t,j}^{\alpha}}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_{t,j}^{\alpha}}} \ge z_{\alpha}\right) \ge \boldsymbol{\beta}$$
(10b)

The final constraints are re-written as:

$$L_{t,j}^{d} \leq \mu_{a}^{d} u_{t,j}^{d} \left(\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} \right) + \sigma_{a}^{d} u_{t,j}^{d} \left(\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\max} \right) \Phi_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{-1}$$
(11a)

$$L_{t,j}^{u} \leq \mu_{a}^{u} u_{t,j}^{u} \left(\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\text{base}} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\min} \right) + \sigma_{a}^{u} u_{t,j}^{u} \left(\mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\text{base}} - \mathbf{L}_{t,j}^{\min} \right) \Phi_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{-1} \quad (11b)$$

Results Aggregated electricity flexibility

Flexibility

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$

Motivations

OO

Consumers' model

 $\cap \cap$

A Monte Carlo simulation is approached, investigating the available flexibility for different price sets. The chance constrained program is solved for a risky and a conservative security level.



β = 95%

Chance constrained

00000

Results

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$

Conclusions

 \bigcirc

Results Method validation

Flexibility

 $\cap \cap$

We **validate** the method, comparing the theoretical security levels with the achieved level. Moreover, the **additional value** of adopting the chance constrained program is quantified:

Chance constrained

00000

Consumers' model

 $\cap \cap$

Actual β

Motivations

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$



Deterministic versus CC

Results

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$





We present a planning- based tool for **estimating** the **flexibility** achievable

from the electricity **consumers** at the transmission system operator level.

Such a method supports the system operator to understand the **consumers**' **behaviour**, evaluating the flexibility for different **types of consumers**. It facilitates to guarantee the electricity reserve for addressing ancillary services. **Aggregated measurements** of different types of consumers are applied.

In order to handle the **uncertainty** of consumers' behaviour, **chance constrained programming** is used for the consumers' **willingness** to provide flexibility. Afterwards, the method is validated.

In the future, we will implement a **stricter rebound** in the model and evaluate **additional uncertainty** of the consumers' behaviour.

Motivations

Flexibility Co

Consumers' model

Chance constrained

Results

Conclusions

Contacts

- Giulia De Zotti gizo@dtu.dk
- Seyyed Ali Pourmousavi Kani <u>a.pour@uq.edu.au</u>
- Juan Miguel Morales Gonzalez juan.morales@uma.es
- Henrik Madsen <u>hmad@dtu.dk</u>
- Niels Kjølstad Poulsen <u>nkpo@dtu.dk</u>

Thank you!