



## Evaluating Demand Response in Large Scale Power System Studies

Niamh O'Connell



**DTU Compute** Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science





# Outline

- Integration Studies and Demand Response
- Modelling Demand Response for Large Scale Integration Studies
- Study Outline
- Study Results
- Conclusions





## **Integration Studies**

- Used to assess the system impact of novel technologies, policies etc.
  - Wind
  - Solar
  - Storage
  - Renewable energy targets
  - Carbon taxes, emission limits
- Comprehensive assessment of benefits, costs, risks over a large geographical region and a (reasonably) long time horizon
  - Production cost modelling





## Modelling DR for Integration Studies

- Requires **sufficiently detailed** model to reflect the true physical characteristics and limitations of the resource, but **reasonably coarse** to facilitate multiple sensitivity studies with acceptable computational times.
- DR Modelling:



#### • What type of DR?

- Energy Service
- Capacity Service (Ancillary Services)





## **Modelling DR for Integration Studies**

- Focus: Energy shifting DR
- Example Flexible Load: Supermarket Refrigeration



Source: O'Connell et al., 2015





## **Additional Considerations**

- Resource depends on a number of external factors primarily outdoor temperature
- "Battery" Characteristics change:
  - Energy Capacity
  - Charging/Discharging Rates
- Seasonal dependencies reflected in the DR product definition



Sources: O'Connell et al. 2015,

California Energy End-Use Survey, 2006





## Case Study

- Integration of energy-shifting DR in Colorado
  - Hourly dispatch
  - Management of system imbalance from load and renewables
- Colorado Power System:
  - 13.7 GW (peak), 79TWh (annual load)
  - 50% inflexible generation, 16% renewables (wind and PV 5:1)



 Single DR resource type, single market/product, results are system dependent

- Headline results:
  - Reduces total system costs by 0.014% (\$2.1 million)
  - Reduces cost of re-dispatch at real-time by 4.8%
  - Per-unit Value: \$32.85/kW-year
  - Achieved through:
    - Reducing curtailment of renewables
    - Supporting more efficient, less flexible generation (Gas CC and Coal)







Results

Caveat:





#### **Results**



Somewhat seasonal value

Source: O'Connell et al., 2015





#### Results

Preference for longer horizon products



Source: O'Connell et al., 2015





## **Sensitivity Studies: DR Resource Size**

Decreasing marginal value and revenue with increasing DR resource



Source: O'Connell et al., 2015





## **Sensitivity Studies: DR Resource Size**

Supports more efficient, but less flexible generation, and renewables







#### **Sensitivity Studies: RES Penetration**



Source: O'Connell et al., 2015





## **Sensitivity Studies: RES Penetration**

Moves from supporting efficient fossil fuels to reducing curtailment of renewables





## **Key Take-Away Points**

- Necessary to model DR with a degree of detail, even (especially) for large scale studies, simplifications must be balanced with maintaining acceptable representation of resource.
  - Assess value, resource revenue, sensitivity, risk
  - Evaluate need for incentives
- Value of DR primarily comes from displacement of expensive, flexible, fossil generation, coupled with avoided curtailment of renewables.
- Supermarkets have the potential to provide DR, but their magnitude is small, and they need to cooperate with other resources to overcome steep drop-off in per-unit value.
  - Revenue per supermarket is also low, possibly necessitating incentive payments.





http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy15osti/64465.pdf

#### Co-authors:

- Elaine Hale
- Ian Doebber
- Jennie Jorgensen