Integrated CITIES Approach for Energy, Climate Change and Sustainable Development

Kirsten Halsnæs



Issues



- Cities have ambitious plans for climate change, energy and "liveability" they do not follow technical boundaries of energy supply
- Sustainable development including economic, social, and environmental challenges are important
- Many decisions taken today will have long term implications and will frame future solution – district planning, transport, energy, and water systems
- Cities are a "modern laboratory" for testing of new technical solutions and ways of living – example Copenhagen Connect



Climate, Energy, and SD Linkages



- The "social profile" of population (age, income, education, lifestyle) determines energy demand and the capacity to invest in efficiency improvements, appliances, cars etc ----- the same is the case with the capacity for climate change adaptation
- Location of houses, business, and infrastructure and other activities determine energy demand and the costs of energy supply ------ locations also determine climate change vulnerability
- Energy systems strongly depend on climate change and extreme events:
 Wind, bioenergy, hydro power, and solar:
 - Short term market perspectives
 - Long term investment perspective
- Tradeoffs and synergies:
 - Trade-offs: Dense cities imply less transport and energy, urban sprawl with green areas imply less climate vulnerability
 - Synergies: Building insulation; city space reduce heat islands effect
 - Fluctuating renewables: Will climate change require larger storage facilities? Is more market integration in Europe a coping strategy?



Decision Making Perspective

• The Smart City is a pioneering and innovative city with an environmentally clean energy and transportation system. Energy consumption is low and renewable energy has a high priority. The system integrates smart and sophisticated solutions, and the interaction between energy consumption and supply is supported by information technology. High quality of life and citizen participation in the development and implementation of smart energy solutions are important drivers in the city development.



Indicators for Decision Making



Dimension	Indicator
Innovative	?
Environmentally Friendly	SO2, NOx, GHG etc
Low energy consumption/Efficiency	Per capita, production, transport work Energy costs
Renewable energy	Renewable energy share (PJ)
New Technological Solutions	Load management, demand/supply interaction reflecting costs and prices
High Quality of Life	Environmental quality Health Daily transport time Social interaction facilities including parks, and shared facilities
Participation	Access to information, participation in decision making

Families, Demands, and Implementation Capacity



Social family profile (age, income, education)

House, appliancies, car etc

Job and other activities



Participation in Decision Making



(a)



Risks: Probability * Consequence





Temperature change (deg. C)

Climate Change Risk Approach

DTU



Probability of climate event

Odense Flooding Case Study

DTU



Relative Flooding Probaility

DTU

=





NPV of Flooding Risks in Odense



Damage Cost Categories









Risk Averse

Risk Neutral









Net present Value of Flooding Risks in Odense with Risk Aversion

DTU

Ξ



Decision Making Perspective



Local Vision for Copenhagen

















